Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/583,985	MODDERMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tara S. Pajoohi	2886
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Tara S. Pajoohi</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Perez.	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1, 37 and 46		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Concern was expressed regarding the terms "process dependent sensor" and "process independent sensor" as claimed in independent claims. However the applicant's representative indicated that such terms are well known in the art and that the specification clearly defines the definitions of such terms. It was agreed that claim 16 should be cancelled since the language has been included in the newly amended limitations of claim 1. Claims 24-36 and 47-51 are directed to the non-elected claims and will also been cancelled To expedite prosecution an examiner's amendment will be used in order to issue a Notice of Allowance.